△ AO 120 (Rev. 3/04)

TO:

Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN

	P.O. Box 1450 ndria, VA 22313-1450	ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK					
In Compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been filed in the U.S. District Court Delaware on the following X Patents or Trademarks:							
DOCKET NO.	<u> </u>	U.S. DISTRICT COURT					
06-91 PLAINTIFF	2/9/06	DISTRICT OF DELAWARE DEFENDANT					
Callaway Golf Company		Acushnet Company					
PATENT OR	DATE OF PATENT	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK					
1 6,210,293 B1	04/03/01	Spalding Sports Worldwide Inc.					
2 6,503,156 B1	01/07/03	Spalding Sports Worldwide Inc.					
3 6,506,130 B2	01/14/03	Spalding Sports Worldwide Inc.					
4 6,595,873 B2	07/22/03	Spalding Sports Worldwide Inc.					
5							
In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY							
PATENT OR	DATE OF PATENT	dment					
TRADEMARK NO.	OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF FATERY OR TRADEMARK					
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:							
Judgment in favor of Acushnet Company. See							
Judgment and Tury undet (attached).							
CLERK (BY), DEPUTY CLERK DATE							
PETER T. DALLEO, CLERK OF COURT Widle Form 3/31/2010							

Copy 1—Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Director Copy 3—Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Director Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Director Copy 4—Case file copy

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

CALLAWAY GOLF COMPANY,)
Plaintiff,)
v .) Civ. No. 06-91-SLR
ACUSHNET COMPANY,)
Defendant.)
	<u> </u>

VERDICT SHEET

Dated: March 26, 2010

We, the jury, unanimously find as follows:

I. VALIDITY

A. Anticipation

1. Has Acushnet proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the following claims is invalid due to anticipation?

"Yes" is a finding for Acushnet. "No" is a finding for Callaway.

(A) U.S. Patent No. 6,210,293 (the '293 patent)

Claim 1 Yes Yes No____

(B) U.S. Patent No. 6,503,156 (the '156 patent)

Claim 1 Yes Yes No____

(C) U.S. Patent No. 6,506,130 (the '130 patent)

Claim 5 Yes Yes No____

(D) U.S. Patent No. 6,595,873 (the '873 patent)

Claim 3 Yes_Yel No____

B. Obviousness

2. Has Acushnet proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the following claims is invalid due to obviousness?

"Yes" is a finding for Acushnet. "No" is a finding for Callaway.

(A) U.S. Patent No. 6,210,293 (the '293 patent)

Claim 1 Yes_\(\begin{align*}(c) \\ Vo_____

Question 2 continues on the next page.

Questio	n 2 contint	iea trom previo	us page				
	(B)	U.S. Pater	U.S. Patent No. 6,503,156 (the '156 patent)				
		Claim 1	Yes <u>Yel</u>	No			
	(C)		nt No. 6,506,130 (t				
		Claim 5	Yes <u>YeS</u>	No			
	(D)		nt No. 6,595,873 (t				
		Claim 3	Yes (C)	No			
II. DAN	MAGES						
,	Answer th	e following qu	estions only if you	find any of the Callav	vay patent claims		
valid (i.e., you answered "no" to both questions 1 and 2 for one or more claims).							
;	3. Wh	at is the total	amount of lost pro	ît damages, if any, th	at should be		
awarde	d to Calla	way?					
	\$						
4	t. For	any sales for	which you have no	ot awarded lost profits	s, what amount of		
reasona	able royal	ty damages sl	nould be awarded	o Callaway?			
	\$						

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

CALLAWAY GOLF COMPANY,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Civ. No. 06-091-SLR
ACUSHNET COMPANY,)
Defendant.)

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

For reasons stated in the jury verdict of March 29, 2010;

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is hereby entered in favor of defendant Acushnet Company and against plaintiff Callaway Golf Company.

Jnited States District Judge

Dated: 3/31/2010

(By) Deputy Clerk